### APPENDIX 3.2 SAMPLE MEMO FOR SHORTLISTING STAGE SUBMISSION TO EACSB

## RESTRICTED (CONTRACT)

# **MEMO**

From	ef in		<ul><li>το Chairman EACSB</li><li>(1 copy thro' Secretary EACSB)</li><li>Your Ref in</li></ul>		
Ref					
 Те1 No					
 Fax No			dated		
Date			Fax No		
			ultants Selection Board (EACSB) ation at meeting on)		
1. CONSULTANCY AGREEMENT NO. & TITLE					
		Agreement No. CE XX/XX -			
	2.	AUTHORITY TO EMPLOY CONSULTA	<u>ants</u>		
		PWP Item No.:			
			as given on and policy support from (the relevant prised by him) was also obtained on, for the		
		Funding approval was given by on			
		*Approval for exemption from (or adoption of by on in accordar approval was given by on	of, where appropriate) parallel tendering was granted nee with the Financial Circular No. 3/2020. *Funding (or) *The consultancy will be funded it delete as appropriate)		
		*Funds approved :(or) *Fu	ands to be approved: (* delete as appropriate)		
	t)				
		Estimated fees:			
		(a) Lump sum			
		(b) Variations			
		(c) Inflation-related payments	(ref. Handbook Section 5.3.2)		
		(d) RSS on-cost	(if applicable)		

	S cost : (if	applicable)				
Estimated total value of all reimbursable items : (if applicable)						
Study period	:months					
Estimated m	anpower input:					
Direc	ctors / Partners:	man-v	veeks			
Chie	f Professional Staff:	man-weeks				
Seni	or Professional Staff:	man-weeks				
Profe	essional Staff:	man-weeks				
Assis	stant Professional Staff:	man-weeks				
Tech	nical Staff:	man-weeks				
<b>APPROVA</b>	L NOW REQUESTED					
The Board's	approval is sought to adopt :-					
		C 1, , 1, , 1, 1	1 2 2 2 4 4			
	the shortlist of firms/joint ventures of consultants listed below and to invite them to submit technical and fee proposals for the Assignment :-					
	•••••					
	rking scheme for the technical propo	osals, and the technical/con be applied to the overall as				
weigh	arking scheme for the technical propo	be applied to the overall as				
weigh	orking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to b	be applied to the overall as				
weigh 3.3 the No	orking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to b	be applied to the overall as				
weigh  3.3 the No	orking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to be stional Value of \$ for	oe applied to the overall as				
weigh  3.3 the No	orking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to be obtional Value of \$ for the technical proportion of the technical propor	pe applied to the overall as or additional Services.  e.  y) Decision or				
weigh 3.3 the No PREVIOUS S 'None' if no p	orking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to be obtained Value of \$for the submissions have been made Date of EACSB/DCSC(if an	pe applied to the overall as or additional Services.  e.  y) Decision or	sessment; and  EACSB/DCSC (if any)			
weigh 3.3 the No PREVIOUS S 'None' if no p	orking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to be obtained Value of \$for the submissions have been made Date of EACSB/DCSC(if an	pe applied to the overall as or additional Services.  e.  y) Decision or	sessment; and  EACSB/DCSC (if any)			
weigh 3.3 the No PREVIOUS S 'None' if no p	orking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to be obtained Value of \$for the submissions have been made Date of EACSB/DCSC(if an	pe applied to the overall as or additional Services.  e.  y) Decision or	sessment; and  EACSB/DCSC (if any)			
weigh 3.3 the No PREVIOUS 9 'None' if no p Dept/Office	rking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to be stional Value of \$ for the submissions have been made Date of EACSB/DCSC(if an meeting, or state "CIRCULATI"	pe applied to the overall as or additional Services.  e.  y) Decision or	sessment; and  EACSB/DCSC (if any)			
weigh 3.3 the No PREVIOUS 9 'None' if no p Dept/Office	orking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to be obtained Value of \$for the submissions have been made Date of EACSB/DCSC(if an	pe applied to the overall as or additional Services.  e.  y) Decision or	sessment; and  EACSB/DCSC (if any)			
weigh 3.3 the No PREVIOUS S 'None' if no p Dept/Office  BACKGRO State concise	rking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to be stional Value of \$ for the submissions have been made Date of EACSB/DCSC(if an meeting, or state "CIRCULATI"	e.  y)  ON"  Decision or Approval given	EACSB/DCSC (if any) ref. & date			
weigh 3.3 the No PREVIOUS S 'None' if no p Dept/Office  BACKGRO State concise approval. The	trking scheme for the technical proporting of%/% to be stional Value of \$ for the submissions TO EACSB previous submissions have been made	e.  y)  Decision or  Approval given  asultancy agreement and the sthat should be mentioned	EACSB/DCSC (if any) ref. & date			

3.

5.

	consultants based on all reasonably available sources. The criteria for selecting the Longlist is given in Appendix A. Longlisted consultants, as the Service Category and Group(s) of consultants under the List of Consultants of EACSB to be invited to express interest for the Assignment and the complexity of the Assignment. As determined by the Assessment Panel, the Assignment is normal* (or) complex* complexity (* delete as appropriate) according to Appendix 2.3 of the Guidelines attached to DEVB TCW No. 5/2018 (the Guidelines) as it involves (elaborate the scope of works / reasons for the selection of the complexity for the Assignment). consultants in Group(s) of Service Category, as agreed by the Assessment Panel and listed in Appendix B, were invited to express interest in undertaking the assignment. A notice of inviting expression of interest (EOI) through internet was also posted on the website of this department.
5.2	In response to the invitation, amongst the longlisted consultants, invited, of them expressed interest while did not express interest for reasons given in Appendix B. In addition, consultants not included in the longlist also expressed interest. (State the assessment of the EOI submissions for compliance with the submission requirements, any exceed pages discarded prior to assessment and any mark deduction due to non-compliance with the format requirements.)
5.3	The Assessment Panel met on to discuss the scores given to the submissions. A summary of the assessment made by the Panel is given in Appendix C. The issues of SPR 186 have been fully addressed. All members, including the Chairperson and the Secretary, of the Assessment Panel have declared they have no conflict of interest (actual, potential or perceived) in conducting the consultants selection for the agreement.
5.4	Also, all officers involved in preparing consultancy documentation (including consultancy briefs and marking schemes) and assessment for the shortlisting exercise have declared they have no conflict of interest (actual, potential or perceived).
5.4	The Assessment Panel met on to discuss the scores given to the submissions. A summary of the assessment made by the Panel is given in Appendix C. The Assessment Panel also reviewed the latest listing status of the consultants and the eligibility of the sub-consultants proposed by each of the consultant in their EOI submission. The review of the Assessment Panel confirms that the consultants and sub-consultants comply with the bidding restrictions as set out in Section 2.3 of the Guidelines and are eligible for bidding. Summary of the eligibility of the consultants and sub-consultants is attached in Appendix D (The summary of the eligibility of the sub-consultants should include (i) the names of all consultants and their sub-consultants, (ii) the area of involvement / sub-consulting services provided by the sub-consultants is under appropriate listed service category and the corresponding listing status of the sub-consultants and (iv) the Assessment Panel's assessment on the eligibility of the sub-consultants. Confirmation from the relevant list management department(s) on whether certain sub-consulting services are within/outside the scope of any particular Service Category(ies) should be provided to support the Assessment Panel's assessment.)
5.5	The key points discussed by the Assessment Panel are highlighted as follows:-
5.6	The proposed "Guidelines <u>for on Preparation</u> of Technical Proposal" are shown in Appendix <u>DE</u> .

to determine and establish the selection criteria, and select the longlisted

- 5.7 The detailed marking scheme which consists of the proposed marks to be allocated to each main section and sub-section, and the weighting to be applied to each panel member are shown in Appendix EF.
- 5.8 The Assessment Panel has endorsed the following parameters for the assessment ... (The justifications for adopting the weighting of staff composition should be stated.)
- 5.9 A technical/consultancy fee/fee quality weighting of \_\_\_\_%/\_\_\_% is proposed due to .......(give justification to the weighting proposed).
- 5.10 The following documents are attached for the Board's examination in principle:
  - (a) The draft Consultancy Brief is attached at Appendix <u>FG</u>.
  - (b) The draft Schedule of Fees which includes the payment schedule is attached at Appendix GH.
  - (c) The consultants will be required to submit a lump sum fee proposal using the proforma given at Appendix HI.
- 5.11 Details of reimbursable items as at Appendix I will be provided to the consultants. ... (with deliberation / decision on why the arrangement of reimbursable items is considered more suitable)

#### 6. <u>ATTENDANCE</u>

State name, post and contact telephone and fax numbers of the officer (D2 or above) who will attend the EACSB meeting when so required by the Board.

### 7. ATTACHMENTS

- Appendix A Estimation of the staff rates for the six staff categories for the lump sum, variations and RSS on-cost (if applicable) Criteria for selecting the Longlist
- Appendix B LonglistList of consultants invited to express interest and reasons for those consultants who did not express interest and consultants not in the longlist that expressed interest.
- Appendix C Summary of scores for consultants (a sample is given in **Appendix 3.14**) and minutes of the Assessment Panel Meeting for shortlisting of consultants
- Appendix D Guidelines for Preparation of Technical Proposals Summary on Eligibility of the Consultants and Sub-consultants
- Appendix E <u>Guidelines on Preparation of Technical Proposals</u>
- Appendix F Marking Scheme for assessing Technical Proposals
- Appendix FG Draft Consultancy Brief (without appendices except general layout plans)
- Appendix GH Draft Schedule of Fees
- Appendix H-I Fee Proposal Proforma
- Appendix 41 Details of Reimbursable Items (with cost estimate)

```
cc. SFST __(Attn. DS(Tsy)3 ) w/e
SDEV (Attn. DS(W)23 )
```

Notes:

(

)

- This sample memo should be modified where necessary to suit the circumstances.
- The estimated variations stated in Section 2 should not be more than 10% of the estimated lump sum unless special circumstances justify (ref. Handbook Section 3.11.2).
- The proposed Notional Value in Section 3 should be the same value of the estimated variations in Section 2.